| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | 10/082,248 | ROWLEY ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | KRISTIE D. SHINGLES | 2444 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>ALLOWANCE</u> | |
| (1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Nidhi Chotani. Atty</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>18 August 2009</u> | Time: <u>1:00pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Double Patenting Obviousness | | |
| Claims discussed: 27-46 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Rowley et al (USPN 6,941,105) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted Atty to recommend filing a Terminal Disclaimer to remedy the potential Double Patenting Obvious-Type rejection applicable over the Rowley et al reference with the pending claims. Atty agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer for the application. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| /Kristie D. Shingles/ | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (Ap | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |